(1) (4)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR
CHHATTISGARH

SINGLE BENCH

WRIT PETITION (S) NO. 3351/06 (3317) **/2006**

P.R. No. 3413/06
Presented by Sri Pankaj Jaiswal
dated 27/11/06

P. R. Sahu,
S/o- Shri L.R. Sahu,
Aged about 42 years,
Presently working as Chief Executive Officer,
Janpad Panchayat - Nagri,
Distt. Dhamtari,
Chhattisgarh. **PETITIONER**

VERSUS

1. State of Chhattisgarh,
Through- Secretary,
Deptt. of SC, ST & Tribal Welfare,
D.K.S. Bhawan, Mantralaya,
Raipur, Chhattisgarh. **RESPONDENTS**

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF APPROPRIATE WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS, CERTIORARI ETC.

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

34

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.3351/ **सन्** 2006

..... **विरुद्ध** .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

11-7-2006

Mr. Pankaj Shrivastava, counsel for the petitioner.

Mr. Sumesh Bajaj, Govt. Advocate for the State/respondent, on advance copy.

Heard both the counsel.

By this writ petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner has questioned the propriety and legality of the order dated 28-6-2006 passed by the respondent, whereby the petitioner has been transferred from Dhamtari to Keshkal.

The main grievance of the petitioner is that his daughter is suffering from the disease of sickle cell anemia for which she requires to undergo blood transmission 3-4 times in a year. At Dhamtari there is a district hospital, whereas, at Keshkal, there is no district hospital and moreover, the petitioner has completed only 1-½ years at Dhamtari, as such, there is genuine personal difficulty of the petitioner and the same has not been considered by the authorities.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that therefore, the petitioner may be allowed to make a representation to the respondent raising all these grievances and the respondent be directed to decide the same, to which learned counsel appearing for the State/respondent has no objection.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties, having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case and without expressing any opinion on merit of the case, the request made by learned counsel for the petitioner is just, fair and reasonable. Therefore, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed

(पीछे देखिये)

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

35

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.3351/ **सन्** 2006

..... **विरुद्ध** .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
Soma	representation raising all his grievances annexing a copy of the writ petition to the respondent within ten days from today and the respondent is directed to decide the representation within 30 days from the date of its receipt after considering the points raised by the petitioner, in an objective manner, in accordance with law. If the petitioner is not already relieved, he should not be relived, till the decision of the representation. With the directions aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of. Consequently, M.(W.)P.No.2574/2006 and I.A.No.6582/2006 also stand disposed of. Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order. Sd/- L.C. Bhadoo Judge	

(पीछे देखिये)